UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/778,917	02/08/2001	Takashi Ikemori	1466.1026	5837	
21171	3500 10/30/2007				
21171 7590 10/30/2007 STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			PLUCINSKI, JAMISUE A		
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Wildimidio	11, 10 2000		3629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
,			10/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/778,917	IKEMORI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jamisue A. Plucinski	3629		

	Jamisue A. Plucinski	3629					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 October 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	'06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NO						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	` ''						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amondmy	nt concoling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	mowable ii submitted in a separate,	unlely liled amending	ant canceling the				
7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:			B				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-5,7-9 and 18</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		·	•				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
<u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>20071005</u>							
13. Other:							
	•						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's have added the claim limitation of "a privledge" into the independent claims. Even though this has been considered before in a dependent claim, this limitation has not been considered with the combination of other dependent claims (i.e. claims 2 and 3). The applicant is also arguing the patentability of the claims based on this limitation, therefore indicating the claim limitation is not merely for clarification purposes..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As stated above, the applicant is arguing the the claim in terms of the newly added claim recitation of "the priviledge". This amendment is not being entered therefore arguments in terms of this claim limitation in terms of the independent claim are not considered to be persuasive. With respect to Applicant's argument that consignment relay stations cannot be considered equivalent to convience stores. It should be pointed out that the limitation of whether it is a consitnment relay station, or a convienent store is considered non-functional data and intended use. The method still be performed the same, and the apparatus would be the same no mater what the location was "named" and considered to only be descriptive of the location the receiver receives the parcel.